### Cooperation practices amongst local municipalities in Europe

**Filipe Teles, Prof. dr.** GOVCOPP, Dep. of Social, Political and Territorial Sciences University of Aveiro, Portugal



# Local efficiency and democracy

- Size and policy coordination
- Size and democracy
- Territorial reforms
  - the belief in economies of scale that give hope for significant improvements in local government capacity to deliver better services, to be more cost efficient, and to be more competitive
  - the quality of local democracy (with the diminution of interest in public affairs, less confidence in local authorities, etc.) has been at stake

### Intermunicipal cooperation

- What do we know?
  - Diversified Picture
  - Common features:
    - Development (growth in numbers)
    - Variety of forms
    - Constant evolution
- Pure forms?
  - All forms fit this definition: situations in which two or more municipalities agree to work together to achieve mutual benefits

### Intermunicipal cooperation

- Territory
  - territorial reforms and inter-municipal cooperation do not need to be mutually exclusive strategies
- Scope
  - Service delivery, EU funds, economic development, ...
- Motives
  - Finantial constraints
  - Legal (compulsory)
  - Strong top-down incentives (finantial / functional)
  - Voluntary, trend effect

### Intermunicipal cooperation

#### • Drivers

A priori	Intrinsic	Extrinsic	A posteriori
Nature of the problem History of previous collaboration	Identity Power relations Institutional context Organisational profile	External influence and incentives	Expected outcomes

<ul> <li>Actors</li> </ul>	Internal	External
	Local politicians Local bureaucracy Other inter-municipal organisations	Civil society organisations Private companies Non-local public authorities Citizens

崖 universidade de aveiro

02/02/2021

# Learning outcomes

#### • Form:

- formal and non-binding arrangements
  - e.g., exchange of information between municipalities,
- legally binding forms of collaboration
  - e.g. creation of autonomous organisational structures.
- Nature
  - voluntary
    - municipalities are free to establish long- or short-term cooperation agreements and also to abandon these in accordance with mutually agreed rules
  - mandatory
    - defined by law and compliance is monitored and sanctioned by the central government.

GOVCOPP, DCSPT

### Learning outcomes

	Institutio	Institutionalisation	
Nature of cooperation	High	Low	
Hard Soft	Service delivery Coordination	Contracts Information	

02/02/2021

# Learning outcomes

- flexibility of cooperation mechanisms
- adaptability to different institutional contexts and the political and social dynamics of different territories
- enabling results similar to those of the objectives outlined for merger processes in municipalities, without, depriving existing municipalities of their autonomy

# Learning outcomes

- IMC entities with harder, more institutionalized structures:
  - Bring higher satisfaction to member municipalities;
  - Membership "in the club" is considered more important for their overall activity;
  - (Positive) outcomes are more visible and easy to evaluate by the members;
  - More often occur spill-over to new areas of cooperation among members;
  - Stimulate higher interest of councillors in IMC activity;
  - There are more new municipalities "joining the club", but also more leaving the IMC entity.

# **Policy implications**

- Alternative scenarios for decentralized cooperative arrangements
- Governance Capacity of Intermunicipal Arrangements
  - Scope
  - Efficiency
  - Institutional nature
  - Democracy
  - Stability
- Incrementalism and diversification

### Filipe Teles, Prof. dr.

GOVCOPP, Dep. of Social, Political and Territorial Sciences University of Aveiro, Portugal

filipe.teles@ua.pt

